

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,391	03/26/2004	Ti-Li Chang	P05029	7574	
40401	40401 7590 06/06/2005			EXAMINER	
HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES			RAGONESE, ANDREA M		
1725 I STREET NW, SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743		
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/809,391	CHANG, TI-LI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrea M. Ragonese	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	arch 2005.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	<u>' </u>					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	:				
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 17 March 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the a Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/17/2005.	6) Other:	aton Application (F 10-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed on March 17, 2005 has been entered. Examiner acknowledges that **claims 1-2** are under consideration.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed March 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding Applicant's argument that the prior art of record (Pagan) does not show that instant invention, specifically that "the projection tip 22 does not rise relative to the plate 20." However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. When flexed for insertion into a patient, tongue—broadly and reasonably interpreted by the Examiner to be the projecting tip 22—will become raised at a degree relative to the from front end of the back plate—broadly and reasonably interpreted by the Examiner to be the mount member 15—wherein the degree of rise would be about 7 degrees or so. Tongue 22 is provided to "aid insertion and location of the patient end of the assembly" (column 2, lines 19-21). This feature of the prior art of record is inherent in the use of the apparatus, and thus, meets the claim limitation as argued by Applicant.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on March 17, 2005. These drawings are objected to by the Examiner hereinafter.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391

any portion of the drawing figures.

Art Unit: 3743

4. The drawings are still objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, (i) the back plate front end and (ii) the back plate side edge, as claimed in **claim 1**, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Page 3

- 5. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct
- 6. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391 Page 4

Art Unit: 3743

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pagan (US 6,012,452) in view of Brain (US 4,509,514). Pagan discloses a laryngeal mask airway comprising a tongue—broadly and reasonably interpreted by the Examiner to be the projecting tip 22—that when flexed for insertion into a patient, will become raised at a degree relative to the from front end of the back plate-broadly and reasonably interpreted by the Examiner to be the mount member 15—wherein the degree of rise would be about 7 degrees or so. Tongue 22 is provided to "aid insertion and location of the patient end of the assembly" (column 2, lines 19-21). This feature of the prior art of record is inherent in the use of the apparatus. Pagan discloses an apparatus comprising all the limitations recited in claims 1-2, with the exception of an inflation balloon. However, the use of an inflation balloon as part of an inflatable laryngeal mask airway apparatus was known at the time the invention was made. Specifically, Brain teaches the use of an inflation balloon 24 for indicating that the mask is being inflated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mask of Pagan by adding an inflation balloon inline with the air line connector because it is well known in the art, as

Art Unit: 3743

taught by Brain, to utilize an inflation balloon in order to indicate the system is properly functioning by passing air through the airline to the mask for inflation.

Double Patenting

- 9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 10. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 11. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 12. Claims 1-2 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 3-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,705,322. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

distinct from each other because they both have a dual airway; a back plate; a laryngeal mask; and an opening.

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrea M. Ragonese whose telephone number is 571-272-4804. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 am until 5:00 pm.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry A. Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,391

Art Unit: 3743

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the 16. Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should. you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMR May 31, 2005

Group 3700

Page 7